

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,660	04/03/2001	Toby Selcer	2166.006	2957
21917	7590 07/30/2003			
MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A.			EXAMINER	
2855 PGA BLVD PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410			LAMB, BRENDA A	
•		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1734	10

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	mm
Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) D9/825 660 Selcer et al
	Examiner Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Peri d for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> </ul>	136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Bult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. By statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	Drom three Abir Conv
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4110	BES. AVAILABLE COPY
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	,
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	or formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	·
M Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-22	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	
1 Claim(s) 2-7, 9 and 11-22	is/are allowed
Claim(s)	is/are rejected
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> </ul>	er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). e priority documents have been
received.	
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Intern</li> </ul>	pational Purcous (PCT Pule 1.7.0(a))
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	•
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
a control of a control of a control awing neview, P10-948	☐ Other
Office A	acti n Summary

Art Unit: 1734

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenner.

Jenner teaches the design of a cable treatment assembly comprised of the following elements: a stator having of first and second semicylindrical shells which are secured together to defining a cavity therebetween; a rotor assembly secured within the cavity and including means for hydraulically sealing to stator; and a fluid input port such that the cable when passed through the apertures of the stator and rotor the cable is

Art Unit: 1734

impregnated by the high pressure fluid (See Figures 1-3). The recitation that the rotor <a href="mailto:can">can</a> be formed from a single piece of material with a means for spacing the means for hydraulically sealing does not structurally further limit the claimed apparatus over Jenner since claim 10 does not require a means for spacing the hydraulic sealing means and nor require forming the rotor and a means for spacing from a single piece of material. Thus Jenner teaches every positively claimed feature of claim 10.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 2,158,114.

GB '114 teaches the design of a cable treatment assembly comprised of the following elements: a stator having of first and second semicylindrical shells which are secured together to defining a cavity therebetween; a rotor assembly secured within the cavity and including means for hydraulically sealing to stator and cable; and a fluid input port such that the cable when passed through the apertures of the stator and rotor the cable; and a fluid input port such that the cable when passed through the apertures of the stator and rotor the cable is impregnated by the high pressure fluid (See Figures 3-4). The recitation that the rotor can be formed from a single piece of material with a means for spacing the means for hydraulically sealing does not structurally further limit the claimed apparatus over GB '114 since claim 10 does not require a means for spacing the hydraulic sealing means and nor require forming the rotor and a means for spacing from a single piece of material. Thus GB '114 teaches every positively claimed feature of claim 10.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 790,551.



Art Unit: 1734

GB '551 teaches the design of a cable treatment assembly comprised of the following elements: GB '551 teaches the design of a cable treatment assembly comprised of the following elements: a stator having of first and second semicylindrical shells which are secured together to defining a cavity therebetween; a rotor assembly secured within the cavity and including means for hydraulically sealing to stator and cable; and a fluid input port such that the cable when passed through the apertures of the stator and rotor the cable; and a fluid input port such that the cable when passed through the apertures of the stator and rotor the cable is impregnated by the high pressure fluid (See Figures 1-3. The recitation that the rotor can be formed from a single piece of material with a means for spacing the means for hydraulically sealing does not structurally further limit the claimed apparatus over GB '551 since claim 10 does not require a means for spacing the hydraulic sealing means and nor require forming the rotor and a means for spacing from a single piece of material. Thus GB '551 teaches every positively claimed feature of claim 10.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is confusing since it is unclear whether or not applicant is claiming the apparatus is further comprised of a means for spacing the hydraulic sealing means and unclear whether one is claiming the rotor is formed from a single piece of material with the means for spacing. It is suggested that applicant amend claim 10 as follows: at lines 17-18 of claim 10 after "with minimal fluid loss from said assembly wherein said rotor"

Art Unit: 1734

Page 5

delete "can be" and insert – assembly further includes a means for spacing said means for hydraulically sealing and wherein the rotor assembly is --;

Claims 2-7, 9 and 11-22 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda Lamb at telephone number 703-308-2056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday through Friday with alternate Tuesdays off.

BRENDA A. LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER

B. A. Lamb/mn July 1, 2003